



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,156	07/21/2003	Joseph Pohutsky	20-520	2708
7590 05/08/2006			EXAMINER	
MANELLI DE	ENISON & SELTER P	SHEDRICK, CHARLES TERRELL		
7th Floor 2000 M Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-3307			2617	

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/623,156	POHUTSKY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Charles Shedrick	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fermal 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-31 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 5/4/04 is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	election requirement. epted or b) objected to by the large on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/623,156 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Considering claims 1, 2, 10-12, 19-21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29 and 30 applicant argues that "Thus, Whitington disclosing a prefix code prefixed to a destination telephone number for information associated with the prefix code NOT a telephone number initiating the telephone call including at least one auxiliary digit appended to the telephone number". However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Please note col. 3 lines 23-35; Whitington reads on the claimed limitation "a telephone number (i.e., 2145551212) initiating the telephone call including at least one auxiliary digit (i.e., *123 or 123#) appended (i.e., add, attach, tag on) to the telephone number (i.e., 2145551212)". The feature codes are appended digits as recited in the claimed limitation and therefore reads upon the claimed embodiment in combination with Lohitia. For the reasons argued above the previous rejection is maintained by the examiner.

2. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, location finding services are automated with respect to a feature code as taught and suggested by Whitington.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,156 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,156

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 1,2,10,11,12,19,20,21,23,24, 26,27,29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohtia (US 6,560,456) in view of Whitington U.S. Patent No.: 6,131,028

Regarding claims, 1,11,20,23,26,and 29, Lohtia et al. teaches a method and system of providing location-based reference information in a wireless network comprising: receiving an information telephone call from a subscriber at a mobile switching center, (Col. 5 line 66-Col.6 line 5), using a location based service to obtain a location of said subscriber (Col. 2 line 40, Col. 4 Line 32, and Col. 5 line 30); retrieving a short message relating to said location based on requested information, and transmitting said retrieved short message to said subscriber (Col. 3 Lines 35-42, Col. 4 Lines 48-50, Col. 5 lines 56-59, and Col. 5 Line 66-Col.6 line 5).

However, Lohtia et al. does not specify that the location-based service to obtain a location of the subscriber is a wireless service and a telephone number initiating said telephone call including at least one auxiliary digit (feature code) beyond those associated with the information telephone call; retrieving a message relating to said location based on requested information associated with said at least one auxiliary digit. For example, Lohtia teaches location information based on current location of subscriber as cited above, but does not spell out if the system finds the user or if the user enters his location in his profile.

In the same field of endeavor, Whitington, clearly show and disclose a location-based service to obtain a location of the subscriber is a wireless service (abstract, columns 2-5) and a telephone number initiating said telephone call including at least one auxiliary digit (feature code) beyond those associated with the information telephone call (column 3 lines 22-35 and column 4 lines 53-65); retrieving a message relating to said location based on requested

information associated with said at least one auxiliary digit (i.e., a feature code can be used to obtain directions to the nearest gas station)(column 3 lines 22-35 and column 4 lines 53-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lohtia et al. to include a feature code appended to a telephone number as taught by Whitington for the purpose of automating a location finding service.

Regarding claims 2,12,21,24,27, and 30 and as applied to claims 1,11,20,23,26, and 29, Lohtia et al. clearly teach the claimed invention except the method and system wherein at least two auxiliary digits are included with said information telephone call.

In the same field of endeavor, Whitington clearly show and disclose the method and system wherein at least two auxiliary digits are included with said information telephone call (column 3 lines 22-35 and column 4 lines 53-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lohtia et al. to include at least two auxiliary digits with said information telephone call as taught by Whitington for the purpose of automating a location finding service.

Regarding claims 10 and 19 and as applied to claims 1 and 11, Lohtia et al. clearly disclose the claimed invention except a method of providing location-based reference information in a wireless network according to claim 11, wherein: said location of said subscriber is determined using a known location of a cell/sector servicing said subscriber.

In the same field of endeavor, Whitington clearly show and disclose except a method of providing location-based reference information in a wireless network according to claim 11,

Application/Control Number: 10/623,156

Art Unit: 2617

wherein: said location of said subscriber is determined using a known location of a cell/sector servicing said subscriber (column 4 line 60-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lohtia et al. to include said location of said subscriber is determined using a known location of a cell/sector servicing said subscriber as taught by Whitington for the purpose of establishing a point of reference in terms of location services.

Claims 3,4,7, 8,9,13,14,17,18,22,25,28,31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohtia et al. (US 6,560,456) in view of Whitington U.S. Patent No.: 6,131,028 and further in view of Bar et al. (US 6,456,852).

Regarding Claims 3,13,22,25,28, and 31 and as applied to claims 1,11,20,23,26, and 29, Lohtia et al. as modified by Whitington clearly teach claimed invention. Lohtia further teaches that an information number can be any number which would obviously include the dialed digits "4-1 -1" (Col. 5 lines 42-44).

Although, the dialed digits "4-1-1" is a well known telephone number for information calls, Lohtia et al. as modified by Whitington does not specifically state that an information number uses the dialed digits "4-1-1".

In the same field of endeavor, Bar et al. teaches the information number being the dialed digits "4-1-1" (Col. 3 Line 15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lohtia et al. as modified by Whitington to include the dialed digits "4-1-1" as the information number utilized for location finding services as taught by Bar et

al. By using the dialed digits "4-1-1" it is obvious that dialing for information could be further automated.

Regarding claims 4, 8, 9,14,17, and 18 and as applied to claims 1, and 11, Lohtia et al. as modified by Whitington clearly disclose the claimed invention except teaching that the subscriber can be located using wireless or cellular signaling, time difference of arrival, and time of arrival.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Bar et al. teaches that the subscriber can be located using wireless or cellular signaling (Col. 5 lines 37–49), time difference of arrival (Col. 3 line 47), and time of arrival (Col. 3 line 46).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person at the time the invention was made to modify Lohtia et al. as modified by Whitington to include or cellular signaling, time difference of arrival, and time of arrival as taught by Bar et al. for the purpose of location services.

Regarding claim 7 and as applied to claim 1 above, Lohtia et al. as modified by Whitington clearly disclose the claimed invention except teaching that the location is determined by using a network generated Location based on a centroid of a cell site sector's radio frequency polygon.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Bar et al. teaches that location determined by using a network generated Location based on a centroid of a cell site sector's radio frequency polygon (Col. 3 Lines 25-35).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person at the time the invention was made to modify Lohtia et al. as modified by Whitington to include a location determined by using a

network generated Location based on a centroid of a cell site sector's radio frequency polygon as taught by Bar et al. for the purpose of location services.

Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohtia et al. (US 6,560,456) in view of Whitington U.S. Patent No.: 6,131,028 and further in view of Hines (US2004/0203922).

Regarding claims 6 and 16 and as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, the Lohtia and Whitington combination teaches all the particulars of the claims except locating the subscriber using angle of arrival.

However, Hines teaches locating a wireless device using angle of arrival (Page 2 (0033)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hines into that of the combination for the obvious reason of having another way to locate the subscriber.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2617

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Shedrick whose telephone number is (571)-272-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kincaid Lester can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Shedrick AU 2617 April 20, 2006

NICKCORSARO NICKCORSARO